

Reviewer's comments on the manuscript submitted to
CoMe - Studies on Communication and linguistic and cultural Mediation

Title of manuscript	

Initials of reviewer	

Reviewer's comments:

Is the title clear and effective?	
<i>Comments:</i>	
Is the abstract relevant and effective?	
<i>Comments:</i>	
Does the author make clear which are the goals of the paper?	
<i>Comments:</i>	
Does the paper raise the awareness of practitioners, educators or researchers in the fields of mediation and communication studies?	
<i>Comments:</i>	
Is the article well-structured according to the linguistic and stylistic conventions of scientific papers?	
<i>Comments:</i>	

Is the article well-structured according to the linguistic and stylistic conventions of scientific papers?

Comments:

Is the article original and relevant to the field of study? (Is it an innovative contribution to research? Is it valuable for the international scientific community? Does it provide an interdisciplinary view? Etc.).

Comments:

Is the review of the relevant existing literature complete and consistent with the contents of the paper? Are the references comprehensive and up-to-date? (we require total match between the publications mentioned in the article and footnotes and those listed in the References section; quotations should follow the American system: author's name, date of publication and page numbers of the original source.)

Comments:

Is the methodology and the method of analysis clear and appropriate?

Comments:

Are discussion of results and concluding remarks clear and organic?

Comments:

**Is the author's line of reasoning explicit? Are there any flawed arguments?
Are the examples provided relevant? Are they interpreted correctly?**

Comments:

Is there any material which is superfluous in relation to the objectives of the paper?
<i>Comments:</i>
Are there any important omissions?
<i>Comments:</i>
Any other comments or remarks?
<i>Comments:</i>

Recommendation:

- Accept submission** (the article can be accepted without changes.)
- Revision required** (the article requires only minor changes to be listed in the mail message or in the grid above. The revised paper will be accepted without further review by external reviewers.)
- Resubmit for review** (the article requires major changes to be listed in the message or in the grid. The revised paper will be subject to further review by the same/other reviewer.)
- Resubmit elsewhere** (the article is interesting but not suitable for publication in this volume.)
- Decline submission** (the article shows signs of plagiarism, is incoherent or inconsistent, methodology is inappropriate or unclear; or it needs to be substantially rewritten to be acceptable.)